2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110	J. KIRK DONNELLY S.B. #179401 DOSTART CLAPP & COVENEY, LLP 4370 La Jolla Village Dr. Ste. 970 San Diego, CA 92122 Tel: (858) 623-4200; Facsimile: (858) 623- MARK R. THIERMAN S.B. #72913 THIERMAN LAW FIRM P.C. 7287 Lakeside Drive Reno, NV 89511 Tel: (775) 284-1500; Facsimile: (775) 703- STEVEN L. MILLER S.B. # 106023 ATTORNEY AT LAW CITY NATIONAL BANK BUILDING 161 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 700 Encino, CA 94136 Telephone: (818) 995-9190	
11 12 13	ERIC KINGSLEY S.B. # 185123 Kingsley & Kingsley, APC 16133 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1200 Encino, CA 91436 Telephone (818) 990-8300, Facsimile (818)	9) 990-2903
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16 17 18	JEFF BOWMAN and LAUROS REYES aka LARRY REYES, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CASE NO. C-04-03525 MMC  CLASS ACTION  SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR:
20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff vs.  UBS FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC., and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,  Defendants.	<ol> <li>Restitution of FLSA Overtime Pay: Class A</li> <li>Restitution of State Law Overtime Pay: Class A</li> <li>Recovery of State Law Overtime Pay: Class A</li> <li>Restitution of Wage Deductions: Class A</li> <li>Restitution of State Law Overtime Pay: Class B</li> <li>Recovery of State Law Overtime Pay: Class B</li> <li>Missed Meal Breaks: Classes A and B</li> <li>Restitution of Business Expenses: Class C</li> <li>Recovery of Business Expenses: Class C</li> <li>Waiting Time Penalties: Class D</li> </ol>

## **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff JEFF BOWMAN is an individual residing in the State of California.
- 2. Plaintiff LARRY REYES is an individual residing in the State of California.
- 3. Defendant UBS FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. is an entity of unknown form and origin doing business in this judicial district.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. Defendant has removed this lawsuit from the Alameda County Superior Court to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1441(b).
- 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district under 28 U.S.C. section 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions on which the claims are based occurred in this judicial district.

## **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 6. <u>Class Definition.</u> Plaintiffs worked for defendant as Financial Advisors and/or Financial Advisor Trainees (i.e., those in the development or training program) ("Trainees"). Plaintiffs seek to bring this lawsuit as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23. The classes that plaintiffs seek to represent are defined as follows:
- 6.1. "Class A" consists of all Financial Advisors who worked for defendant in the State of California at any time between June 30, 2000 and the present (the "Class Period").
- 6.2. "Class B" consists of all Trainees who worked for defendant in the State of California at any time during the Class Period.
- 6.3. "Class C" consists of all Financial Advisors and Trainees who worked for defendant in the State of California at any time during the Class Period and who, during the Class Period, incurred necessary business-related expenses that were not reimbursed by defendant, including without limitation advertising or promotional expenses, seminar costs,

training costs, telephone charges, ticket charges, mailing costs, subscriptions, office supplies,
office equipment, wages of the support staff, costs associated with trading errors, costs to
settle disputes with customers, and any other necessary business-related costs or expenses that
resulted from their employment with defendant.

- 6.4. "Class D" consists of all members of Class A, Class B, and/or Class C who, as of the date this lawsuit was filed, were no longer employed by defendant.
- 7. <u>Ascertainable Class.</u> The proposed classes are ascertainable in that their members can be identified and located using information contained in defendant's payroll and personnel records.
- 8. <u>Common Questions.</u> Common questions of fact or law exist with respect to the class members, which include but are not limited to the following:
  - 8.1. Whether the members of Class A and Class B receive overtime pay;
- 8.2. The reasons why defendant classified the members of Class A and Class B as exempt from overtime;
- 8.3. Whether the members of Class A and Class B regularly work more than 40 hours per week and/or 8 hours per day;
  - 8.4. Whether defendant is a "retail or service establishment";
- 8.5. Whether the members of Class A and Class B are paid on a salary basis;
- 8.6. Whether the primary duty of the members of Class A and Class B is performing work that is directly related to the management or general business operations of defendant or defendant's customers;
- 8.7. Whether the primary duty of the members of Class A and Class B is selling financial products or services;

- 8.8. Whether the members of Class A and Class B exercise independent judgment and discretion;
- 8.9. Whether the members of Class C incurred necessary business-related expenses that were not reimbursed by defendant, including without limitation advertising or promotional expenses, seminar costs, training costs, telephone charges, ticket charges, mailing costs, subscriptions, office supplies, office equipment, wages of the support staff, costs associated with trading errors, costs to settle disputes with customers, and any other necessary business-related costs or expenses that resulted from their employment with defendant;
- 8.10. Whether defendant illegally deducted the business-related expenses from the Class C members' earned wages;
- 8.11. Whether defendant timely and fully paid the members of Class D all of the wages they were due in their final paycheck;
- 8.12. Whether defendant's failure to pay the members of Class D all of the wages they were due in their final paycheck was willful.
- 9. <u>Numerosity</u>. The plaintiff classes are so numerous that the individual joinder of all members is impractical under the circumstances of this case. Each class consists of well over 100 members.
- 10. Typicality and Adequacy. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the class members. Plaintiffs suffered a similar injury as the other class members as a result of defendant's common practices regarding the payment of wages. In addition, plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the class. Plaintiffs have no interests that are adverse to the interests of the other class members.
- 11. <u>Superiority</u>. A class action is superior to other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since individual joinder of all members of the class

1 is impractical. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons 2 to prosecute their common claims in a single forum simultaneously, efficiently, and without the unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would engender. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by each individual member of the class may 4 5 be relatively small, the expenses and burden of individual litigation would make it difficult or impossible for individual members of the class to redress the wrongs done to them, while an 6 7 important public interest will be served by addressing the matter as a class action. The cost to the court system of adjudication of such individualized litigation would be substantial. 8 9 Individualized litigation would also present the potential for inconsistent or contradictory

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

judgments.

**FIRST CLAIM** 

## (Restitution of FLSA Overtime Pay - On Behalf of Class A)

- 12. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-11.
- 13. The Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. section 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), states that an employee must be paid overtime, equal to 1.5 times the employee's regular rate of pay, for all hours worked in excess of 40 per week.
- 14. Class A members regularly work more than 40 hours per week but are not paid overtime.
- 15. Defendant is not a "retail or service establishment" under 29 U.S.C. section 207(i) and 29 C.F.R. sections 779.316 and 779.317.
  - 16. Class A members are not exempt under the FLSA's administrative exemption.
  - 17. Class A members are not exempt under the FLSA's professional exemption.
  - 18. Class A members are not exempt under the FLSA's executive exemption.
  - 19. Class A members are not exempt under the FLSA's outside sales exemption.

25

5

Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-11 and 22-28.

30.

25

31. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 1194, the members of Class A are entitled to recover their overtime wages, plus interest, attorney's fees, and costs, in amounts to be proved at trial.

## **FOURTH CLAIM**

## (Restitution of Wage Deductions - On Behalf of Class A)

- 32. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-11.
- 33. Defendant engages in the following practice with respect to Class A: If the Financial Advisor's monthly draw exceeds his or her commissions for that month, defendant carries forward the deficit and recovers it from the Financial Advisor's wages in subsequent months.
- 34. To the extent defendant claims that the monthly draw paid to Class A is a "salary," defendant's practice of recovering a portion of this so-called "salary" from wages in subsequent months violates California Labor Code section 221, 224, and 300, and is, in turn, an act of unfair competition under Bus. & Prof. Code section 17200 et seq. Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 17203, plaintiffs request an order requiring defendant to make restitution of any portion of the "salary" that was recovered from Class A members' wages in subsequent months, in an amount to be proved at trial.

#### FIFTH CLAIM

# (Restitution of State Law Overtime Pay - On Behalf of Class B)

- 35. Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-11 and 22.
- 36. Class B members regularly work more than 40 hours per week and/or 8 hours per day, but are not paid overtime.
- 37. Class B members are not exempt under California's commissioned sales exemption.
  - 38. Class B members are not exempt under California's administrative exemption.

5 6

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

47. Pursuant to California Labor Code section 226.7, the members of Class A and Class B are entitled to one additional hour of pay for each day a meal period was missed, in an amount to be proved at trial.

## EIGHTH CLAIM

## (Restitution of Business Expenses - On Behalf of Class C)

- Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-11. 48.
- 49. The members of Class C incurred necessary business-related expenses that were not reimbursed by defendant, including without limitation advertising or promotional expenses, seminar costs, training costs, telephone charges, ticket charges, mailing costs, subscriptions, office supplies, office equipment, wages of the support staff, costs associated with trading errors, costs to settle disputes with customers, and other necessary businessrelated costs or expenses that resulted from their employment with defendant.
- Defendant's failure to reimburse these expenses violates California Labor 50. Code section 2802, as well as Labor Code sections 221 and 400-410 as those sections have been interpreted by California case law. As such, defendant has committed an act of unfair competition under Bus. & Prof. Code section 17200 et seq. against Class C.
- Pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 17203, plaintiffs request an order 51. requiring defendant to make restitution of all necessary business-related expenses due to Class C, in an amount to be proved at trial.

#### **NINTH CLAIM**

(Recovery of Business Expenses – On Behalf of Class C)

- Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-11 and 49. 52.
- Pursuant to California Labor Code section 2802, the members of Class C are 53. entitled to recover their business expenses, plus interest, attorney's fees, and costs, in amounts to be proved at trial.

1 TENTH CLAIM 2 (Waiting Time Penalties – On Behalf of Class D) 3 Plaintiffs incorporate the allegations contained in paragraphs 1-53. 54. 4 55. Defendant willfully and intentionally failed to pay plaintiffs and the other Class D members all of the wages they were due by the deadlines imposed under California 5 Labor Code sections 201 and 202. Accordingly, the Class D members are entitled to waiting 6 time penalties of up to 30 days' pay, in an amount to be proved at trial. 7 8 **PRAYER** WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment against defendant as follows: 9 For compensatory damages according to proof; 1. 10 For an order requiring defendant to make restitution of all wages, including 2. 11 overtime wages and business expenses, that were illegally withheld; 12 3. For interest according to proof; 13 For penalties as alleged herein; 4. 14 For reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit; and 5. 15 6. For such other relief that the Court deems just and proper. 16 17 June 9 Dated: May , 2005 DOSTART CLAPP & COVENEY, LLP 18 19 20 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 21 22 23 24 25